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ABSTRACT

The objective of this work was to perform a complete review of the existing scientific literature to update the knowledge on the effects of base station antennas on humans. Studies performed in real urban conditions, with mobile phone base stations situated close to apartments, were selected. Overall results of this review show three types of effects by base station antennas on the health of people: radiofrequency sickness (RS), cancer (C) and changes in biochemical parameters (CBP). Considering all the studies reviewed globally (n = 38), 73.6% (28/38) showed effects: 73.9% (17/23) for radiofrequency sickness, 76.9% (10/13) for cancer and 75.0% (6/8) for changes in biochemical parameters. Furthermore, studies that did not meet the strict conditions to be included in this review provided important supplementary evidence. The existence of similar effects from studies by different sources (but with RF of similar characteristics), such as radar, radio and television antennas, wireless smart meters and laboratory studies, reinforce the conclusions of this review. Of special importance are the studies performed on animals or trees near base station antennas that cannot be aware of their proximity and to which psychosomatic effects can never be attributed.

1. Introduction

During the last few decades, hundreds of thousands of mobile phone base stations and other types of wireless communications antennas have been installed around the world, in cities and in nature, including protected natural areas, in addition to pre-existing antennas (television, radio broadcasting, radar, etc.). Only the aesthetic aspects or urban regulations have been generally considered in this deployment, while the biological, environmental and health impacts of the associated non-ionizing electromagnetic radiation emissions have not been assessed so far. Therefore, the effects on humans living around these anthropogenic electromagnetic field sources (antennas) have not been considered.

In France, there is a significant contribution of mobile phone base stations in the exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields (RF-EMF) of urban citizens living nearby (De Giudici et al., 2021). Some studies from India indicate that more than 15% of people have levels of EMF strength above 12 V/m due to their proximity to antennas (Premlal and Eldhose, 2017). Exposure estimates have shown that RF-EMF from mobile telephone systems is stronger in urban than in rural areas. For instance, in Sweden the levels of RF radiation have increased considerably in recent years, both outdoor and indoor, due to new telecommunication technologies, and the median power density measured for RF fields between 30 MHz and 3 GHz was 16 μW/m² in rural areas, 270 μW/m² in urban areas and 2400 μW/m² in city areas (Hardell et al., 2018). Total exposure varies not only between urban and rural areas but also, depending on residential characteristics, between different floors of a building, with a tendency for building exposure to increase at higher floors (Brekkenkamp et al., 2012).

Over the past five decades, and more intensively since the beginning of this century, many studies and several reviews have been published on the effects of anthropogenic electromagnetic radiation on humans living around the antennas. The first studies were carried out with radio and television antennas, investigating increases in cancer and leukaemia (Milham, 1988; Maskarinec et al., 1994; Hocking et al., 1996; Dolk et al., 1997a, 1997b; Michelozzi et al., 1998; Altpeter et al., 2000), as well as around radars (Kolodzynski and Kolodynska, 1996; Goldsmith, 1997).

Regarding base station antennas, there are scientific discrepancies in their effects: some studies concluded that there are no health-related effects (e.g. Augner and Hacker, 2009; Blettner et al., 2009; Röösli et al., 2010; Bialiatsas et al., 2016) whereas others found increases in cancer and other health problems in humans living around antennas (e.g. Santini et al., 2002; Navarro et al., 2003; Borkiewicz et al., 2004;
were those that included antennas and powerlines jointly. Studies that together with broadcast transmitters (TV and radio), radar, mobile memory loss, dizziness, fatigue, nausea, appetite loss, difficulty in concentration, dizziness, etc., that has been termed ‘RF sickness’ (Lilienfeld et al., 1978; Johnson Lyakouris, 1998; Navarro et al., 2003).

The objective of this study was to perform a complete review of the existing scientific literature to update the knowledge on the effects of base stations on humans living around the antennas.

2. Methods

A search was performed in the EMF portal, Google Scholar and PubMed databases with the words: “mobile phone base station and health” or “cell tower and health”.

Only studies performed in real urban conditions, with mobile phone base stations situated close to apartments, were selected. Studies conducted in larger regions with numerous antennas, based on surveys and geographic data, were also included.

On the contrary, studies were excluded that considered different sources of electromagnetic radiation, such as mobile phone base stations together with broadcast transmitters (TV and radio), radar, mobile phones, cordless phones, Wi-Fi or wireless smart meters. Also excluded were those that included antennas and powerlines jointly. Studies that only performed measurements or modelling of radiation levels in the environment of the antennas or in the body, but did not deal with health effects, were excluded, as were surveys on risk perception and the nocebo effect, modelled radiofrequency electromagnetic field exposure from mobile-phone base stations or perceived exposure. All experimental laboratory studies, experiments in an exposure chamber or adapted room were also excluded. Finally, the comments or criticisms of previously published studies were also excluded. However, due to their importance the conclusions of some of the excluded studies will be discussed in the corresponding section.

3. Results

The studies that met the selected criteria are presented in chronological order in Table 1, catalogued as Y/N depending on whether or not they found effects. The selected studies cover three types of effects: radiofrequency sickness (RS) (according to Lilienfeld et al., 1978; Johnson Lyakouris, 1998), cancer (C) and changes in biochemical parameters (CBP). Table 1 also includes the authors, year and country, antenna type, study design, diseases and symptoms found/not found and the main conclusions of each study.

Considering all the selected studies (n = 38), 73.6% (28/38) showed effects: 73.9% (17/23) for radiofrequency sickness, 76.9% (10/13) for cancer and 75.0% (6/8) for changes in biochemical parameters (Fig. 1). Therefore, most of the studies carried by research groups from twenty different countries reach the same conclusions.

For the reasons previously explained, the following studies (n = 85) were not considered in this review, even though the conclusions of some of these studies will be discussed later due to their importance regarding the similarities of the electromagnetic radiation types involved and the health effects found in many cases. Several studies only performed measurements or modelling of radiation levels in the environment of the antennas or in the body, but did not deal with the effects on health (e.g. Aoki-Czyc, 1999; Henderson and Bangay, 2006; Kow and Radiman, 2006; Neitzke et al., 2007; Bürgi et al., 2008; Augner et al., 2009; Chen and Chuang, 2009; Schmiedel et al., 2009; Viol et al., 2009; Hansson et al., 2011; Alhekail et al., 2012; Breckenkamp et al., 2012; Beekhuizen et al., 2013; Bürgi et al., 2014; Lyare et al., 2019; Urbinello et al., 2014; Lemaire et al., 2016; Admawi, 2021; De Giudici et al., 2021; Kazaure et al., 2021; Yetis and Kayili, 2021). Some were surveys on risk perception and the nocebo effect, modelled RF-EMF exposure from mobile-phone base stations or perceived exposure (Wiedemann et al., 2006; Dohle et al., 2012; Kowall et al., 2012; Freudenstein et al., 2015; Dieudonne, 2016; Klaps et al., 2016; Martens et al., 2017; Koh et al., 2020). Others jointly considered various sources of electromagnetic fields such as telephone antennas, mobile phones, cordless phones, Wi-Fi, powerlines or wireless smart meters (Seitz et al., 2005; Ballatsas et al., 2011; Atzmon et al., 2012; Eskander et al., 2012; Frei et al., 2012; Lamech, 2014; Singh and Pati, 2016; Boehmert et al., 2020; Akkam et al., 2020). Some studied the effects of radio or television antennas (Milham, 1988; Maskarinec et al., 1994; Hocking et al., 1996; Dolk et al., 1997a, 1997b; McKenzie et al., 1998; Michelozzi et al., 1998; Alpeter et al., 2006; Hocking and Gordon, 2005; Boscolo et al., 2001; Cooper et al., 2001; Michelozzi et al., 2002; Hallberg and Johansson, 2002; Elwood, 2003; Ha et al., 2003; Park et al., 2004; Abelin et al., 2005; Alpeter et al., 2006; Ha et al., 2007; Satta et al., 2018). Others were radar studies (Kolodinsky and Kolodynska, 1996; Goldsmith, 1997; Szpigiel et al., 2001; Yakymenko et al., 2011; Schoeni et al., 2016; Martens et al., 2018). Some studies performed experiments in a laboratory, exposure chamber or adapted room, with simulated or real electromagnetic radiation from base station antennas (e.g. Zwamborn et al., 2003; Hinrichs et al., 2005; Regel et al., 2006, 2007; Eltiti et al., 2007; Leitgeb et al., 2008; Riddervold et al., 2008; Augner et al., 2009; Augner et al., 2010; Wallace et al., 2010; Danker-Hopfe et al., 2010; Falconi et al., 2018; Azimzadeh and Jelodar, 2019; Smith-Roe et al., 2020). Some reports were comments or criticisms of previously published studies (e.g. Coggon, 2006; Röösli and Huss, 2008; Bithell, 2010; Dode and Leão, 2012; Foster and Trottier, 2012; Mortazavi, 2014, 2017).

4. Discussion

The results of this review show three types of effects by base station antennas on the health of humans: radiofrequency sickness, cancer and changes in biochemical parameters (Fig. 1). From among all these studies, most of them found effects (73.6%). Thus, despite some limitations and differences in study design, statistical measures, risk estimates and exposure categories (Khurana et al., 2010), together they provide a consistent view of the effects on the health of people living in the vicinity of base station antennas.

Studies conducted in large regions with numerous antennas, based on surveys and geographic data (e.g. Augner and Hacker, 2009; Dode et al., 2011; Ballatsas et al., 2016; Martens et al., 2017; Dode et al., 2021), have the limitation that there may be many factors other than the base station antennas affecting the health of the population (environmental and occupational determinants of diseases and symptoms, individual characteristics such as food and life habits, activity level, smoking, self-medication, individual pathologies or genetic factors) that can act as confounding factors. It is important to mention here that the meters used for power density measurements in research papers should have more high quality equipment and better measurement methods.

On the other hand, some studies did not meet the strict conditions to be considered in this review, but due to their importance regarding the similarities of the electromagnetic radiation types involved and the effects found in many cases, they provide important supplementary evidence, as we will see in the next paragraphs.

For example, mobile phone users had an increased risk of headache (one of the typical symptoms for RF sickness near base station antennas) compared with non-users, and the risk of headache was also increased in those who had a longer daily call duration and higher daily call frequency (Wang et al., 2017). The same was also seen with cancer (Hardell et al., 2007). On the other hand, the symptoms caused by wireless smart meters were similar to those reported by people exposed to RF fields emitted by mobile phones (Lamech, 2014).
Studies that met the selected criteria.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N°</th>
<th>Reference and country</th>
<th>Antenna type</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Diseases and symptoms found/not found</th>
<th>Main conclusions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Santini et al. (2002), 2003a and 2003b</td>
<td>Base station antennas</td>
<td>Questionnaire survey in 530 people</td>
<td>Effects found on:</td>
<td>Effects occur up to a distance of 300 m from the antenna. Older subjects are more sensitive. Also, the facing location is the worst position for some symptoms studied, especially for distances till 100 m from base stations. The frequency of reported complaints is significantly higher for women in comparison with men.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>France</td>
<td>GSM 900 and 1800 MHz</td>
<td></td>
<td>-tiredness -headache -sleep disturbance -discomfort -irritability -depression -memory loss -dizziness -libido decrease -visual perturbations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Gómez-Perretta et al., 2013</td>
<td>Base station antennas</td>
<td>Questionnaire survey in 101 people and electric field measurements</td>
<td>Effects found on:</td>
<td>Significant correlation between the declared severity of the symptoms and the measured power density. The separation of respondents into two different exposure groups also showed an increase of the declared severity in the group with the higher exposure. The incidence of most of the symptoms was related to exposure levels, independently of the demographic variables and some possible risk factors. Health changes related with GSM exposure seem to occur in a manner unrelated with those fears.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>GSM 900 and 1800 MHz</td>
<td></td>
<td>-fatigue -irritability -headache -nausea -appetite loss -discomfort -sleep disturbances -depression -difficulty in concentration -dizziness</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Bortkiewicz et al. (2004)</td>
<td>Base station antennas</td>
<td>Review of previous publications</td>
<td>Effects found on:</td>
<td>Relationship between the incidence of individual symptoms, the level of exposure, and the distance. This association was observed in both groups of persons, who linked their complaints with the presence of the base station and those who did not notice such a relation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-circulatory system -sleep disturbances -irritability depression -blurred vision -concentration -difficulties nausea -lack of appetite -headache -vertigo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Eger et al. (2004)</td>
<td>2 Base station antennas</td>
<td>Number of cancer cases in the selected population (1045 resident) in Naila to compare results an inner area (within a distance of 400 m from the base station antennas) and outer area (beyond 400 m).</td>
<td>The number of newly developed cancer cases in the inner area is more than the expected number taken from the cancer register, which represents the total population being irradiated. The group who had lived during the past five years within a distance of 400 m from the Base station antennas have a two times higher risk of developing cancer than that of the average population. The relative risk of getting cancer in the inner area compared with the Saarland cancer register is 1.7.</td>
<td>The risk of newly developing cancer was three times higher among those patients who had lived during past ten years (1994-2004), within a distance of 400 m From the cellular transmitter, in comparison to those who had lived further away.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-nose -headache -vertigo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Wolf and Wolf (2004)</td>
<td>1 Base station antenna</td>
<td>A cancer incidence study to investigate the incidence of cancer cases of individuals (the cohort included 622 people) exposed to a Base station antenna, in comparison to those of a nearby clinic out of that area, to the national incidence rates of the whole country and to the incidence rates in the whole town of Netanya</td>
<td>There were 4.15 times more cases of cancer in area A (breast carcinoma, ovary carcinoma, lung carcinoma, Hodgkin’s disease, osteoid osteoma, and hypernephroma) than in the entire population.</td>
<td>The study indicates an association between an increased incidence of cancer and living in proximity to a Base station antenna.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Israel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-dizziness -headache -tiredness</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Hutter et al. (2006)</td>
<td>10 Base station antennas in the 900 MHz band</td>
<td>Questionnaire survey in 365 subjects and exposure measurements</td>
<td>Effects found on:</td>
<td>Self-reported symptoms like headache and difficulties in concentrating show an association with microwave exposure from base stations, not attributable to subjects’ fear of health effects from these sources. Other symptoms, like sleeping problems, seem to be more due to fear of adverse health effects than actual exposure.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|    | Austria | | | -headache -dificulties to concentrate -cold hands or feet -no effects found on: -vertigo -palpitations -tremor -hot flashes -sweating -loss of appetite -loss of energy, -exhaustion -tiredness | (continued on next page)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N°</th>
<th>Reference and country</th>
<th>Antenna type</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Diseases and symptoms found/not found</th>
<th>Main conclusions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Abdel-Rassoul et al. (2007)</td>
<td>Base station antennas (GSM)</td>
<td>Questionnaire survey on 85 exposed persons and 80 controls</td>
<td>- feeling strained - sleep</td>
<td>The prevalence of neuropsychiatric complaints were significantly higher among exposed inhabitants than controls. Inhabitants living nearby mobile phone base stations are at risk for developing neuropsychiatric problems and some changes in the performance of neurobehavioral functions either by facilitation or inhibition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Augner and Hacker (2009)</td>
<td>Base station antennas</td>
<td>Questionnaire survey on fifty-seven participants and saliva samples</td>
<td>- Self-declared base station neighbors (&lt;100 m) had significantly higher concentrations of alpha-amylase in their saliva, higher rates in symptoms, somatization, obsessive-compulsive, anxiety, phobic anxiety. There were no differences in EMF-related health concern scales.</td>
<td>Self-declared base station neighbors are more strained than others. EMF-related health concerns cannot explain these findings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Blettner et al. (2009)</td>
<td>Base station antennas (GMS 900 and 1800 and UMTS 2170)</td>
<td>Questionnaire survey on 30,047 participants and geo-coding information on the residence distance (less or more than 500 m) to the nearest mobile phone base station. In a second phase RF measurements were conducted for a sub-sample in the households of 1500 persons</td>
<td>The mailed questionnaire included a list of 38 symptoms that have been reported in previous studies to be possibly associated with RF-EMF exposure</td>
<td>Participants who were concerned about or attributed adverse health effects to mobile phone base stations and those living in the vicinity of a mobile phone base station (500 m), reported slightly more health complaints than others. The observed slightly higher prevalence of health complaints near base stations cannot be explained by attributions or concerns alone. The worries and health complaints of people living close to mobile phone base stations need to be taken seriously. Measured RF-EMFs emitted from mobile phone base stations were not associated with health disturbances. However, the study shows that sleep disturbances and health complaints are related to the attribution of adverse health effects to mobile phone base stations. There are indications from epidemiology that such exposures affect wellbeing and health weakly supported by human provocation studies and an inconclusive body of evidence from animal and in vitro studies. Cross-sectional investigations of subjective health as a function of distance or measured field strength, despite differences in methods and robustness of study design, found indications for an effect of exposure that is likely independent of concerns and attributions. Two ecological studies of cancer in the vicinity of base stations report both a strong increase of incidence within a radius of 350 and 400 m respectively. Due to the limitations inherent in this design no firm conclusions can be drawn, but the results underline the urgent need for a comprehensive investigation of this issue.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Kundi and Hutter (2009)</td>
<td>Base station antennas</td>
<td>Review of previous publications</td>
<td>Effects found on:</td>
<td>A significant relationship between mean exposure levels of the study participants and reported health symptoms. Within the 400-m radius around the transmitter, a higher symptom rate could be documented for 14 out of 19 symptom groups in the highest exposure groups compared to group (continued on next page)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Eger and Jahn (2010)</td>
<td>Base station antennas</td>
<td>Questionnaire survey on 255 persons</td>
<td>Effects found on:</td>
<td>A significant relationship between mean exposure levels of the study participants and reported health symptoms. Within the 400-m radius around the transmitter, a higher symptom rate could be documented for 14 out of 19 symptom groups in the highest exposure groups compared to groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N°</td>
<td>Reference and country</td>
<td>Antenna type</td>
<td>Study design</td>
<td>Diseases and symptoms found/not found</td>
<td>Main conclusions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Elliott et al. (2010)</td>
<td>Base station antennas</td>
<td>Data on all registered cases of cancer in children aged 0-4 in Great Britain and data on mobile phone base stations to investigate the risk of early childhood cancer associated with the mother’s exposure to radiofrequency from and proximity to mobile phone base stations during pregnancy.</td>
<td>Of the 1397 cases, there were 251 brain and central nervous system cancers and 527 cases of leukemia and non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma. The study found no association between mobile phone base stations and risk of cancer.</td>
<td>In this systematic national investigation the authors found no association between risk of cancer in young children and estimated exposures to radiofrequency from mobile phone base stations during pregnancy. However there is a research paper limitation on page 5: “our models did not include information on other sources of radiofrequency exposure, such as from microwells or picocells” and the city centers (especially) are full of these kind of antennas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Khurana et al. (2010)</td>
<td>Review of previous publications</td>
<td>Effects found on:</td>
<td>Adverse neurobehavioral symptoms or cancer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Jośpoli et al. (2010)</td>
<td>Systematic review</td>
<td>There are no adverse effects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Yildirim et al. (2010)</td>
<td>Blood samples to analyse the micronucleus (MN) frequency and chromosomal aberrations on blood in people living around mobile phone base stations and healthy controls.</td>
<td>There was not a significant difference of MN frequency and chromosomal aberrations between the two study groups.</td>
<td>Mobile phones and their base stations do not produce important carcinogenic changes.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Alazawi, 2011</td>
<td>Questionnaire survey on 375 subjects. Not measurements</td>
<td>Effects found on:</td>
<td>Headaches -sleep disturbances -irritability -depressive -tendencies -feeling of discomfort, -difficulties in concentration -memory loss -lowering of libido</td>
<td>This study shows that inhabitants living nearby mobile phone base stations are at risk for developing non specific health symptoms, the facing position appears to be the worst one for distances from cellular phone base stations &lt;100 m. It is advisable that cellular phone base stations should not be sited closer than 300 m to populations, as a precautionary measure, sitting of base stations should be such as to minimize exposure of neighbors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Dode et al. (2011)</td>
<td>This research was conducted in a broad environmental context, aiming to verify if there is a spatial correlation between the Base station antennas and the cases of death by neoplasia during the period between 1996 and 2006 in Belo Horizonte municipality (Brazil).</td>
<td>The mortality rates and the relative risk were higher for the residents inside a radius of 500 m from the Base station antennas, compared to the average mortality rate of the entire city, and a decreased dose- response gradient was observed for residents who lived farther away from these base stations.</td>
<td>The research showed the existence of a spatial correlation between cases of death by neoplasia and the locations of the Base station antennas, in the Belo Horizonte municipality from 1996 to 2006.</td>
<td>(continued on next page)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Reference and country</td>
<td>Antenna type</td>
<td>Study design</td>
<td>Diseases and symptoms found/not found</td>
<td>Main conclusions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Li et al. (2012)</td>
<td>Base station antennas</td>
<td>Population-based case-control study in Taiwan considering incident cases aged 15 years or less and admitted in 2003–2007 for all neoplasm (n = 3481 children). Exposure of each study subject to radio frequency (RF) was indicated by the averaged annual power density within 5 years prior to the neoplasm diagnosis. Controls were randomly selected.</td>
<td>Taiwanese children with higher-than-median exposure of RF potentially emitted from Base station antennas were at significantly increased risk of all neoplasms combined. Although there were also positive associations between RF power density and risks of brain neoplasm and leukaemia in children, such associations did not reach statistical significance.</td>
<td>This study noted a significantly increased risk of all neoplasms in children with higher-than-median RF exposure to Base station antennas. The slightly elevated risk was seen for leukaemia and brain neoplasm, but was not statistically significant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Stewart et al. (2012)</td>
<td>Base station antennas (GMS 1800)</td>
<td>Data on cancer incidence and mortality accessing the medical information of near residents</td>
<td>The study cannot conclude that the base station is responsible for the incidence of cancer in the local residents</td>
<td>Although the age range for local residents with cancer in the suspected cluster was younger than might be generally expected, there was no evidence that their cancer incidence is associated with the operation of the mobile phone base station. 10 cancers were registered after installation of the base station. However, the collection of cancers does not fulfill the criteria for a cancer cluster; the cases are a mixed variety of relatively common cancers. No single type of cancer was dominant, all but one were common types of cancer and none were seen in a group not usually affected by that cancer. Data from primary and secondary care also provide evidence that lifestyle and family history factors could have contributed to some individual cases.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Islam and Mohammed (2014)</td>
<td>Base station antennas</td>
<td>Questionnaire survey on 220 adults living near a Base station antenna for at least one year in two selected areas. The residents were asked about their health after installation of the antenna. The number decreased to 25 after removal of the 800 MHz and the 2 GHz antennas. In total 49 residents suffered from health problems during the operation of both sets of antennas.</td>
<td>Half of the respondents experienced problems in sleeping patterns, recent episodes of headache or dizziness and mood change, anxiety, or depression. 11 respondents experienced some generalized burning sensation and 4 reported episodes of shaking or fits. 48 respondents mentioned one or more other health effects, such as mood changes/problem, buzzing in the head, hopelessness, palpitation, tachycardia, heaviness of chest, anorexia, diarrhoea, and skin diseases.</td>
<td>From the results of the study cannot conclude that the health effects are direct results of the base station antennas. However, the complaints were similar to those of other studies, which shows the importance of conducting further research to determine the effects of electromagnetic radiation from base station antennas on human health and should be considered as a public health concern.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Pachuau (2014)</td>
<td>Base station antennas (GSM 900)</td>
<td>Questionnaire survey conducted on 64 adults (31 female, 33 male) and electric field measurements. Health symptoms of RF exposure faced by the inhabitants within 50 m and outside 50 m from the tower were analysed and compared.</td>
<td>Effects found: - Muscle pain - Fatigue - Sleep disorder - Nausea - Skin problem - Dizziness - Feeling of discomfort - Difficulty in concentration - Memory loss - Visual disruption</td>
<td>Inhabitants living within 50 m had more health complaints than those living outside 50 m. It was also found that females had more complaints than males</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Shinjo and Shinjo (2014)</td>
<td>2 base station antennas (CDMA 800 MHz and 2 GHz)</td>
<td>Medical examinations and health questionnaires comparing the health of 107 residents during the base station’s operation and after its removal. Measurement of the power density</td>
<td>Effects found: - fatigue - eye problems - sleep disturbances - dizziness - headache - tinnitus - nasal bleeding - No effects found on: - tachycardia - tumours - skin problems - rhinitis - angina pectoris - hearing loss</td>
<td>A total of 34 residents suffered from health problems after installation of the 800 MHz antennas. Three months after their removal this number decreased to 13. There were 41 residents who had health problems after installation of the 2 GHz antennas, and this number decreased to 15 after removal of the 2 GHz antennas. In total 49 residents suffered from health problems during operation of both the 800 MHz and the 2 GHz antennas. However, this number decreased to 25 after removal of both sets of antennas. The residents had no prior knowledge about possible adverse health effects of RF-EMFs. Health problems of the residents were associated with the operation of the base station.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 1 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N°</th>
<th>Reference and country</th>
<th>Antenna type</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Diseases and symptoms found/not found</th>
<th>Main conclusions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Gandhi et al. (2015)</td>
<td>Base station antennas</td>
<td>Questionnaire survey and blood samples from 91 individuals, with 70% (63) residing in a populated area with a mobile phone base station (the sample group) and 30% (28) in a sparsely-populated zone without any nearby base stations (the control group). Radiofrequency field measurements</td>
<td>Effects found: -Genetic damage parameters of DNA migration length, damage frequency (DF) and damage index</td>
<td>mobile phone base station and these problems improved after its removal. Genetic damage was significantly increased in the sample group compared to that in the controls. The 2.5–4.5-fold increase in DNA damage in peripheral blood lymphocytes of persons staying near a mobile phone base station is of acute concern given that all neoplasia initiate via unreppaired DNA damage. In the light of the above observations and the statistically significant genetic damage observed in those residing within 300 m of a mobile phone base station in this study, it implies that the effects of radiation from mobile phone base stations cannot be overlooked, as unrepaired DNA damage can lead to cancer, precocious ageing and age-related effects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Meo et al. (2015)</td>
<td>2 Base station antennas (925 MHz) near two schools</td>
<td>RF-EMF measurements and blood sample collection</td>
<td>Effects found: -chronic hyperglycemia -increased HbA1c -diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>Students who were exposed to high EMFR generated by MPBS had significantly higher Hba1c and prevalence of pre diabetes mellitus compared to the students who exposed to low EMFR. EMFR appears to be another risk factor contributing to high levels of Hba1c and risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Pachuau et al. (2015)</td>
<td>Base station antennas (GSM 900)</td>
<td>Questionnaire survey from 50 exposed and 50 control individuals. Power density measurements</td>
<td>Effects found: -fatigue -sleep disturbance -headache -dizziness -muscle pain -cramp -No effects found on: -nausea -discomfort -difficulty in concentration -memory loss -skin problems -visual disturbance -hearing problem</td>
<td>Inhabitants living near mobile tower are having more health complaints than those inhabitants living in the area where there is no mobile tower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Al-Quzwinia et al. (2016)</td>
<td>Base station antennas</td>
<td>Questionnaire survey. Two hundred couples (one hundred subfertile couples as a study group, and one hundred fertile couples as a control group. Semen analysis</td>
<td>Twenty-nine percentage of subfertile couples had exposure to environmental hazards (communication’s tower beside their house within 50 m), and 71% non-hazard. The duration of the exposure to the environmental factor ranged from 2 to 7 years.</td>
<td>The exposure to environmental hazards shows significant difference between the subfertile and the fertile men, as higher percentage of exposure to mobile phone tower among subfertile group, 29% versus 12% for the fertile group. This before-after study found no evidence that RF-EMF exposure from mobile phone base stations is associated with the development of non-specific symptoms in the general population, corroborating recent observational studies. Subgroup analyses among people with self-reported sensitivity to base stations showed a higher prevalence for most symptoms at T1 compared to baseline and there was some indication for a higher risk of non-specific symptoms for the mobile phone base stations-sensitive group, in relation to exposure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Baliatssas et al. (2016)</td>
<td>Base station antennas (GSM and UMTS)</td>
<td>Health records from 1069 adult participants. All participants were living within 500 m from the nearest base stations. A propagation model combined with a questionnaire was used to assess indoor exposure to RF-EMF from MPBS at T1. Estimation of exposure at T0 was based on number of antennas at T0 relative to T1.</td>
<td>Compared to the baseline period, there was a higher prevalence of symptoms theoretically relevant to EMF at T1. A significant increase was observed in the prevalence of ear symptoms and a two-fold (but not significant) increase in the prevalence of skin symptoms. Overall, the total prevalence was slightly lower at T1. A consistent association between UMTS exposure and different clusters of GP-registered symptoms, for the self-declared mobile phone base stations-sensitive group.</td>
<td>It is unclear whether electromagnetic fields emitted by mobile phone base stations affect well-being in adults. The existing studies on this topic are highly inconsistent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Klape et al. (2016)</td>
<td>Base station antennas</td>
<td>Meta-analysis based on the results of 17 studies</td>
<td>The effects of mobile phone base stations seem to be rather unlikely. However, nocebo effects occur.</td>
<td>A majority of the subjects who were residing near the mobile base station (continued on next page)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Reference and country</td>
<td>Antenna type</td>
<td>Study design</td>
<td>Diseases and symptoms found/not found</td>
<td>Main conclusions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Premlal and Eldhose, 2017</td>
<td>14 Base station antennas</td>
<td>Questionnaire survey (229 persons) and power density measurements</td>
<td>Joint pain, sleep disorders, migraine related headaches and digestive problems</td>
<td>For 32 different diseases, only 4 were found to have obvious relation to the cell tower radiation. Females are more prone to the bad effects of cell tower radiation. The current Indian standard for cell tower exposure is inadequate for the safe living.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Taheri et al. (2017)</td>
<td>Base station antennas</td>
<td>45 healthy individuals with their home near BTS antenna (exposed group) and 45 healthy subjects who were away from the antenna</td>
<td>In the exposed group, the whole number of white blood cells, the level of hematocrit, percent of monocytes, eosinophils and basophils were significantly lower than the control group. The number of red blood cells, their average volume and the mean concentration of hemoglobin were notably higher than the controls. There was not observed a significant difference between the two groups in hemoglobin, its mean concentration, platelet count, percent of lymphocytes and neutrophils as well as serum levels of cytokines IL-4, IL-10 and interferon γ.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 32 | Vijay and Choudhary (2017) | 40 Base station antennas (900-1800 MHz) | Questionnaire survey | Effects found: 
- headache  
- dizziness  
- irritability  
- concentration difficulties  
- hypertension  

The questionnaires show that people have some kind of physical or mental illness after the installation of mobile towers. |
| 33 | Zothansiama et al. (2017) | 6 Base station antennas (900-1800 MHz) | Questionnaire survey, blood sample collection and lymphocyte culture. Power density measurement. Exposed group (n = 40) Control group (n = 40) The study was envisaged to evaluate the effect of RFR on the DNA damage and antioxidant status in cultured human peripheral blood lymphocytes of individuals residing in the vicinity of mobile phone base stations and comparing it with healthy controls. | Effects found: 
- higher frequency of micronuclei  
- decreased antioxidants  

The analyses of data from the exposed group residing within a perimeter of 80 m of mobile base stations, showed significantly higher frequency of micronuclei when compared to the control group, residing 300 m away from themobile base station. The analysis of various antioxidants in the plasma of exposed individuals revealed a significant attrition in glutathione concentration, activities of catalase and superoxide dismutase and rise in lipid peroxidation when compared to controls. Multiple linear regression analyses revealed a significant association among decreased antioxidants and elevated micronuclei frequency with increasing RF power density. The persistence of DNA unrepaired damage leads to genomic instability which may lead to several health disorders including the induction of cancer. |
| 34 | Meo et al. (2019) | Two different schools both situated nearby base station antennas (925 MHz) | Cognitive function, motor screening task and spatial working memory were tested, and also RF measurements were made. | There was a statistically significant impairment in the motor screening task and spatial working memory among students who were exposed to high RF generated by base station antennas (School 2: 10.021 μW/cm²) compared to students who were exposed to lower levels of RF (School 1: 2.010 μW/cm²). |

(continued on next page)
In studies carried out in the last century, occupational exposure of people to microwave radiation (RF) related to military, industrial and radio uses, as well as radio waves, showed several types of effects: an increase in spontaneous abortions, changes in red and white blood cell counts and an increase in childhood, testicular and other cancers. These findings suggest that RF exposures are potentially carcinogenic and have other health effects; the author recommends precautionary measures to avoid unnecessary exposure (Goldsmith, 1997). An analysis of particular locations of diagnosed neoplasms indicates significantly higher morbidity rates in the military exposed to RF for alimentary tract cancers, skin tumours, neoplasms and cerebral and haematological/lymphatic malignancies. For haematological/lymphatic malignancies, the difference in morbidity between exposed and unexposed military was the largest. This may suggest that spontaneous neoplasms develop faster in the exposed group, with a shorter latency period than in those not exposed. In fact, in exposed subjects, the disease occurs 5–10 years earlier (Szmigielski et al., 2001). On the other hand, children exposed to radar electromagnetic radiation had less developed memory and attention, their reaction time was slower and their neuromuscular apparatus endurance was decreased (Kolodynski and Kolodynska, 1996).

In several studies performed around radio and television antennas, there was a significant decrease in the risk of cancer and leukaemia with increasing distance to the antennas (Maskarinec et al., 1994; Dolk et al., 1997a, 1997b; Hocking and Gordon, 2000; Michelozzi et al., 2002; Park et al., 2004). People exposed to a radio antenna shortwave broadcasting station in Schwarzenburg (Switzerland) had sleep disturbances, which was more frequent in exposed than in unexposed subjects (Alt peter et al., 2000).

Thus, the coincidences of similar effects from studies with different sources of electromagnetic radiation (but with similar pulsed, polarized and modulated radiation), such as radar or radio/television antennas, reinforce the conclusions of this review. Non-ionizing EMF are among the fastest growing forms of environmental pollution, its increase in spontaneous abortions, changes in red and white blood cell counts and an increase in childhood, testicular and other cancers. These conclusions are based on the fact that the findings of the study indicate that, the higher the RBS radiofrequency exposure, the higher the cancer mortality rate, especially for cervix cancer. The spatial analysis showed that the highest radiofrequency exposure was observed in a city located in the southern region of Brazil, which also showed the highest mortality rate for all types of cancer and specifically for lung and breast cancers.

### 4.1. Investigations with radar and radio/television antennas

Table 1 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N</th>
<th>Reference and country</th>
<th>Antenna type</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Diseases and symptoms found/not found</th>
<th>Main conclusions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Y Iraq</td>
<td>Base station antennas (900–1800 MHz)</td>
<td>Questionnaire survey comparing two groups. The first group (n = 79) was located in a town with three cell phone towers with less than a hundred meters apart. The second study group (n = 79) was limited to the area almost empty from cell phone towers. Electric field measurements were made.</td>
<td>There was a significant association between health problems (skin problem, hair loss issues, sleeping difficulties, and fertility issues) and distance to towers (less distance, more problems: F-value &lt; 0.05). In regards to health problems (abnormalities, blood pressure issues, tumours cases, and memory and concentration difficulties), the obtained results were not statistically significant.</td>
<td>The results showed an increase in both short- and long-term health problems near base stations antennas in general.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Y Spain</td>
<td>9 Base station antennas</td>
<td>Questionnaire survey on 268 persons, 174 in exposed area and 94 in control area, and EMF measurements</td>
<td>Effects found on -headache, -dizziness, -instability, -tachycardias, -nightmares, No effects found on: -fainting</td>
<td>People who are exposed to higher radiation values present more severe headaches, dizziness and nightmares. 5.6% cancer cases in the study population, a percentage 10 times higher than that of the total Spanish population.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>N France</td>
<td>Base station antennas</td>
<td>Questionnaire survey in 354 residents from buildings located at a distance of 250 m or less from the base station antennas in the main transmit beam of the antennas and home exposure measurements</td>
<td>No significant association between RF-EMF exposure and the overall symptoms score, nor between RF-EMF exposure from MPBSs and insomnia-like symptoms. There was a significant association between RF-EMF exposure and insomnia-like symptoms only for participants who attributed their symptoms to radiofrequencies.</td>
<td>The findings of the study do not support the hypothesis of an association between RF-EMF exposure and health outcomes, such as self-reported non-specific or insomnia-like symptoms in the general population. However, they may suggest a possible association between such exposure and insomnia-like symptoms among people reporting environmental concerns.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Y Brazil</td>
<td>Base station antennas</td>
<td>This is an ecological study using capitals as the unit of analysis. The authors collected information on the number of deaths by cancer, gender, age group, gross domestic product per capita, death year, and the amount of exposure over a lifetime and investigated all cancer types and some specific types (breast, cervix, lung, and esophagus cancers).</td>
<td>For all cancers and for the specific types investigated (breast, cervix, lung, and esophagus cancers), the higher the exposure to RBS radiofrequency, the higher the median of mortality rate.</td>
<td>The results indicate that the exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields from an RBS increases the rate of mortality by all cancers and specifically by breast, cervix, lung, and esophageal cancers. These conclusions are based on the fact that the findings of the study indicate that, the higher the RBS radiofrequency exposure, the higher the cancer mortality rate, especially for cervix cancer. The spatial analysis showed that the highest radiofrequency exposure was observed in a city located in the southern region of Brazil, which also showed the highest mortality rate for all types of cancer and specifically for lung and breast cancers.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2. RF exposure incidents among diplomats (Havana syndrome)

From late 2016 through August 2017, US government personnel on a diplomatic mission in Havana, Cuba, reported neurological symptoms, including cognitive, balance, visual and hearing disturbances, sleep...
disturbances and headaches. These individuals appeared to have sustained injuries to generalized brain networks with no associated history of head trauma (Swanson et al., 2018). They complained of cognitive decline, fatigue and headache, especially after cognitive exertion, and in some cases tinnitus, nausea and balance problems (Dyer, 2018). This mysterious disease that affected US and Canadian diplomats in Cuba (and later also in China) has confused the FBI, the State Department and US intelligence agencies.

The reported facts seem consistent with pulsed RF/microwave as the source of injuries to the affected diplomats (Golomb, 2018). The same conclusion was later reached by the National Academy of National Academies of Sciences (2020), who reported that many of the chronic or non-specific symptoms were consistent with known effects of RF, such as dizziness, headache, fatigue, nausea, anxiety, cognitive deficits and memory loss. In general, directed pulsed RF energy appears to be the most plausible mechanism to explain these cases. Such symptoms had already been described much earlier at the Moscow embassy (Lilienfeld et al., 1978; Johnson Lyakouris, 1998).

There are objective pathophysiological changes and health effects induced by EMF exposure that can biologically damage the organism and are noxious agents in healthy people (Belpomme and Irigaray, 2022).

### 4.3. Important laboratory studies

The United States National Toxicology Program (NTP) tested the two main modulation types used for mobile phones worldwide for GSM (2G) and UMTS (3G/4G), in a two-year rodent cancer bioassay under near-field exposure conditions; the experiments included additional assays for genotoxicity endpoints (Smith-Roe et al., 2020). They found clear evidence of carcinogenic activity, and more specifically malignant schwannomas of the heart, malignant gliomas of the brain and benign, malignant or complex pheochromocytomas (combined) of the adrenal medulla. They also found increased DNA damage (measured by the comet assay) in the frontal cortex of male mice, in the leukocytes of female mice and in the hippocampus of male rats, indicating that mobile phone EMF could cause DNA damage and consequent carcinogenesis. In a similar large carcinogenicity study by the Ramazzini Institute, Falcioni et al. (2018) examined far-field exposure to GSM 1800 MHz EMF and reported very similar results to the NTP study. Specifically, they also found increased incidence of tumours of the brain and heart in the mobile phone EMF-exposed Sprague-Dawley rats. Furthermore, these tumours are of the same histotype as those observed in some epidemiological studies on mobile phone users (Hardell et al., 2007).

Kostoff et al. (2020) emphasizes that most of the laboratory experiments conducted to date were not designed to identify the more severe adverse effects reflective of the real-life operating environment in which wireless radiation systems operate, as many experiments do not include pulsing and modulation of the carrier signal and the majority do not account for synergistic adverse effects of other toxic stimuli.

### 4.4. Importance of studies with biological parameters and those performed on animals and plants

Despite the scientific evidence shown in the studies carried out in many countries by different teams of researchers that we have reviewed, several studies conclude that no effects are found and blame it on risk perception and the nocebo effect (Wiedemann et al., 2006; Kowall et al., 2012; Freudenstein et al., 2015; Dieudonné, 2016; Klaps et al., 2016; Koh et al., 2020). However, the nocebo effect is not supported by objective data (Belpomme and Irigaray, 2022), by the results of cancer studies (Eger et al., 2004; Wolf and Wolf, 2004; Dode et al., 2011; Li et al., 2012; Rodrigues et al., 2021), by studies on changes in haematological parameters (Gandhi et al., 2015; Meo et al., 2015; Taheri et al., 2017; Zothansiama et al., 2017), by hormonal changes after long-term exposure (Eskander et al., 2012), by salivary secretion (Singh et al., 2016) and by effects on fertility (Al-Quzwi et al., 2016). Many reviews on the health effects of mobile phones have reached the same conclusions regarding their effects on male infertility (El-Hamd and Abouelhassab, 2018). Unfortunately, the studies that allude to the nocebo effect seem to be the ones taken into account by the World Health Organization (World Health Organization, 2015).

On the other hand, studies performed on animals or trees near base station antennas are especially important, because animals and plants cannot be aware of their proximity and therefore nocebo or psychosomatic effects cannot be attributed (Balmori, 2005, 2010; Balmori and Hallberg, 2007; Hässig et al., 2012; Lázaro et al., 2016; Waldmann–Selsam et al., 2016; Levitt et al., 2021). In fact, a similar result of this study for humans was found in a review on the significant ecological effects of RF EMF in 65% of the studies of vertebrates, birds and plants (Cucurachi et al., 2013).

Moreover, for these effects, perfectly plausible mechanisms of action

![Fig. 1. Results on effects according to the study type considered.](image-url)
have already been proposed. Plasma membrane calcium channels and other voltage-gated ion channels are irregularly activated/inactivated by man-made EMF in both animals and plants, increasing intracellular 

[Ca$^{2+}$] and altering intracellular ion concentrations (Panagopoulos et al., 2002, 2021; Pall, 2016).

Under the influence of non-thermal intensities of microwave radiation, there are important signals of some hazardous changes in cell metabolism. A significant increase of reactive oxygen species and nitrogen oxide generation in cells under non-thermal intensities has been detected both in vivo and in vitro (Yakymenko et al., 2011; Belpomme and Irigaray, 2022). Thus, the different findings clearly argue for a causal role of EMF in inducing free radical species, including overproduction of reactive oxygen and nitrogen species or suppression of antioxidant defence in cells (Belpomme and Irigaray, 2022). Furthermore, this exposure can result in DNA damage through oxidative stress with reactive oxygen species/free radical overproduction (Yakymenko et al., 2011; Kvyrat et al., 2017; Panagopoulos et al., 2021).

5. The Precautionary Principle

The International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP) is a private organization that issues exposure guidelines that are then adopted by governments, but it has been accused of having conflicts of interest (Hardell and Carlgberg, 2020; Hardell et al., 2021). The ICNIRP (2010, 2020) limits are thousands of times above the levels where effects are recorded for both extremely low frequency and RF man-made EMF and account only for thermal effects, whereas the vast majority of recorded effects are non-thermal. These existing guidelines for public health protection only consider the effects of acute intense (thermal) exposures and do not protect from lower level long-term exposures (Israel et al., 2011; Yakymenko et al., 2011; Blank et al., 2015; Starkey, 2016; Belpomme and Irigaray, 2022). The exposure duration is crucial to assess the induced effects.

The Precautionary Principle is one of the fundamental principles of the European Union, governing policies related to the environment, health and food safety (Harremoes et al., 2013). This principle enables decision-makers to adopt precautionary measures when the scientific evidence regarding an environmental or human health factor is not certain regarding its safety. Therefore, despite the existing ample and rapidly increasing scientific evidence, no significant progress has been made over all these years, at least at the level of guidelines issued by the responsible authorities and official regulatory bodies. Some authors have pointed out that the source of funding correlates with study findings, and many systematic reviews and meta-analyses in this field have failed to correct for this source of funding bias, which has likely underestimated the evidence for causation (Carpenter, 2019). A growing number of scientists have been calling internationally on governments to raise their safety standards for RF-EMP (Blank et al., 2015; Hardell and Nyberg, 2020; Frank, 2021). Thus, there is an urgent need to adopt the Precautionary Principle and impose more restrictive levels (Zinelis, 2010; Yakymenko et al., 2011; Blank et al., 2015; Starkey, 2016).

6. Conclusion

In the current circumstances, it seems that the scientific experts in the field are very clear about the serious problems we are facing and have expressed this through important appeals (Blank et al., 2015; Hardell and Nyberg, 2020). However, the media, the responsible organizations (World Health Organization, 2015) and the governments are not transmitting this crucial information to the population, who remain uninformed. For these reasons, the current situation will probably end in a crisis not only for health but also for this technology itself, as it is unsustainable and harmful to the environment and the people.
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